

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/788,899	02/27/2004	Kevin Torek	303.866US1	4587	
21186	7590 06/13/2006		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ALANKO, ANITA KAREN		
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			1765		
				DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)  Advisory Action 10/788,899 TOREK ET AL.				
Advisory Action 10700 000 TOPER ET AL				
,				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner Art Unit	-			
Anita K. Alanko 1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other eviden places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one time periods:	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the approprial have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The approprial under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, of may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS				
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection.				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an entered how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  The status of the claim(s) as follows:	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 8-50</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
<ul> <li>9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, we entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fair showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attach</li> </ul>	ls to provide a l).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowar See Continuation Sheet.	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:				

Anita K. Ylainko Anita K Alanko Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1765

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claim language. The claims cite "substantially vertical" not "vertical". The prior art has "substantially vertical" structures - the figures show upward pointing structures. "Substantially" is a broad term.